towards meeting the shelter problems of low income categories.

(b) A statement indicating estimated year-wise inflow of funds under the NRI scheme for investment in housing and real estate development is as under:

Year	Inflow (Rs. in crores)
1993	0.85
1994	10.19
1995	53.58
1996	112.48
1997	188.36
Total	365.46

(c) The involvement of non Resident Indians/Multinational Companies is expected to serve the following objectives:

1. To meet the resources gap in the housing sector which is highly capital intensive but capital deficient. The added advantage will be augmentation of foreign exchange reserves.

2. To bring in more efficiency on the supply side by getting in the international promoters to participate in the housing sector. This would also help in meeting the technological, professional and management gaps in the sector which will, in turn, improve the efficiency and performance in the sector.

3. To make the housing sector, in the longer run, more competitive in terms of resources, technology, human capital and building material.

4. To promote new constituencies for reforms and built up international interests therein for financial and regulatory developments applicable to the housing sector at the State level. Public agencies in partnership with the overseas investors may constitute a viable model for efficient provision of infrastructure and construction activities through foreign capital and know-how.

Funds for beautification of various Towns of Assam

3644. DR. ARUN KUMAR SARMA: Will the MINISTER OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EXPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the State-wise allocation of funds made by Government under various heads like beautification, drainage, water supply, market development etc.,

(b) the details of allocation made to various towns of Assam including Guwahati City during Ninth Plan and progress of work; and

(c) the allocation made by various agencies for urban development, sewage and sanitation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI BANDARU DATTATREYA): (a) to (c) Urban Development including Water Supply and Sanitation is a State subject and it is the responsibility of the State Governments and local bodies to provide and augment urban infrastructure by formulating suitable schemes. However, in the Urban Development sector, the following Centrally sponsored Schemes are being administered by Government of India:

(i) Scheme for Infrastructure Development of Mega Cities;

(ii) Scheme of Integrated Development of Small and Medium Towns (IDSMT);

(iii) Accelerated Urban Water Supply Programme (AUWSP);

(iv) Scheme of Low Cost Sanitation (LCS).

Under the IDSMT Scheme, projects for improvement of infrastructure (including drainage and market development) are taken up in selected towns. Since inception of the Scheme in 1979-80 till 1997-98, 920 towns have been covered under IDSMT and Central assistance amounting to Rs. 30994.66

lakh released to various State Governments as per Statewise details given in Statement-I (*See* below).

During 1997-98 (first year of Ninth Plan), Central assistance amounting to Rs. 51.86 lakh was released for implementing IDSMT projects in 4 towns of Assam. Upto December, 1997, the State Government have reported a total cumulative expenditure of Rs. 159.62 lakh (against the Central + State Shares released) on implemention the projects in these 4 towns. Townwise details of Central assistance released and expenditure reported are given in Statement-II (*See* below). IDSMT Scheme is applicable to towns having population upto 5 lakh. Guwahati city is not eligible for funding under IDSMT as its population is above 5 lakh (5.84 lakhs) as per 1991 Census.

Under the Mega City Scheme which is applicable to cities with population of 4 million and above (as per 1991 Census), total Central amounting to Rs. 35870.00 lakh was released (from inception of the Scheme in 1993-94 till 1997-98) to the Cities of

Mumbai (Maharashtra) — Rs. 8509.00 lakhs
Calcutta (West Bengal) — Rs. 8275.00 lakhs
Chennai (Tamil Nadu) — Rs. 6807.00 lakhs
Hyderabad (Andhra Pradesh) — Rs. 6571.00 lakhs
Bangalore (Karnataka) — Rs. 5708.00 lakhs

The project components eligible for funding under the Mega City Scheme also include water supply, sewerage, drainage and sanitation.

The AUWSP Scheme was launched in 1993-94 with the objective of providing safe drinking water supply in towns having population less than 20,000 (as per 1991 Census). From inception of the Scheme till 1997-98, water supply Scheme in 258 towns have been approved under AUWSP and Central assistance amounting to Rs. 9657.40 lakh released Statewise details given in Statement III (*See* below). During 1997-98 (frist year of 9th Plan), Central assistance amounting to Rs. 140.00 lakh was released under AUWSP to Government of Assam. Upto December, 1997, Government of Assam have reported a total expenditure of Rs. 62.00 lakhs on implementing approved projects under AUWSP. Under AUWSP, lumpsum Central assistance (and not on townwise basis) is released to the State Governments.

Under the LCS Scheme, upto 1997-98, HUDCO loan amounting to Rs. 16829.15 lakh and Central subsidy amounting to Rs. 17184.33 lakh was released to various State Governments as per Statewise details given in Statement-III (*See* below). During 1997-98 (first year of IX Plan), no funds were released under LCS to Government of Assam.

Statement-I

Central Assistance under the IDSMT Scheme released to various State Governments (from 1979-80 to 1997-98)

(Rs. in Lakh)

S.No.	Name of State	Funds released
1.	Andhra Pradesh	2638.44
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	90.00
3.	Assam	673.36
4.	Bihar	1150.65
5.	Goa	166.50
6.	Gujarat	1967.59
7.	Haryana	427.50
8.	Himachal Pradesh	146.58
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	269.58
10.	Karnataka	2413.20
11.	Kerala	1298.89
12.	Madhya Pradesh	2257.11
13.	Maharashtra	3916.98
14.	Manipur	335.10
15.	Meghalaya	226.10
16.	Mizoram	199.00

S.No.	Name of State	Funds released
17.	Nagaland	222.90
18.	Orissa	1170.75
19.	Punjab	969.37
20.	Rajasthan	1814.41
21.	Sikkim	128.89
22.	Tamil Nadu	3007.40
23.	Tripura	253.15
24.	Uttar Pradesh	2696.61
25.	West Bengal	2111.73
26.	A & N Islands	92.00
27.	D & N Haveli	100.22
28.	Daman & Diu	15.00
29.	Lakshadweep	25.00
30.	Pondicherry	210.75
	TOTAL:	30994.66

Statement-II

Townwise Details of Central Assistance under the IDSMT Scheme released to Government of Assam during 1997-98 (First Year of Ninth Plan)

(Rs. in Lakh)

S.No.	Name of Town	Fund Released	Exp. Reported Upto Dec., 97	Remarks
1.	Diphu	2.50	59.00	Ongoing Project
2.	Bongaigaon	1.25	72.35	-Do-
3.	North Lakhimpur	27.11	28.27	-Do-
4.	Barpeta	21.00	—	New Project
	TOTAL:	51.86	159.62	

Statement-III

Statewise Central Assistance released under AUWSP Scheme (from 1993-94 to 1997-98)

(Rs. in Lakh)

S.No.	Name of State	Central Share Released
1.	Arunachal Pradesh	104.45
2.	Assam	334.11
3.	Bihar	94.50
4.	Goa	25.58
5.	Gujarat	255.62
6.	Haryana	315.13
7.	Himachal Pradesh	163.05
8.	Jammu & Kashmir	105.58
9.	Karnataka	417.81
10.	Kerala	203.22
11.	Madhya Pradesh	1502.92
12.	Maharashtra	638.71
13.	Manipur	234.18
14.	Meghalaya	97.82
15.	Mizoram	74.92
16.	Nagaland	86.89
17.	Orissa	446.35
18.	Punjab	184.13
19.	Rajasthan	975.21
20.	Tamil Nadu	401.41
21.	Tripura	5.16
22.	Uttar Pradesh	2807.91
23	West Bengal	162.94
	TOTAL:	9657.40

Statement-IV

HUDCO Loan and Central Subsidy released under LCS Scheme (Upto 1997-98) to various states

(Rs. in Lakh)

S.No.	Name of State	Loan Released	Subsidy Released
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1410.37	802.34
2.	Assam	199.23	418.56
3.	Bihar	—	126.73
4.	Goa	400.00	—
5.	Haryana	930.40	1125.56
6.	Jammu & Kashmir	—	181.23
7.	Karnataka	2419.51	741.33
8.	Kerala	166.19	85.84
9.	Madhya Pradesh	610.82	708.49
10.	Maharashtra	1153.17	840.25
11.	Manipur	—	73.45
12.	Meghalaya	52.03	33.34
13.	Orissa	282.93	352.00
14.	Punjab	3924.51	3958.82
15.	Rajasthan	14.22	2077.42
16.	Tamil Nadu	2765.68	866.24
17.	Tripura	—	58.78
18.	Uttar Pradesh	1886.51	2171.87
19.	West Bengal	592.14	2545.08
20.	A & N Islands	12.44	16.50
	GRAND TOTAL:	16829.15	17184.33

शहरी व्यवस्थापन के लिए तकनीकी दल

3645. **श्री ओंकार सिंह लखावत:** क्या शहरी कार्य और रोजगार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या योजना आयोग और मंत्रालय द्वारा शहरी व्यवस्थापन, वित्त पोषण और शहरी संदर्श तथा नीति के संबंध में तकनीकी दल गठित किए गये थे;

(ख) क्या उपर्युक्त दलों ने अपनी तकनीकी रिपोर्ट सरकार को प्रस्तुत कर दी है;

(ग) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है और यदि नहीं, तो कब तक प्रस्तुत कर दी जाएगी; और

(घ) क्या सरकार द्वारा उपर्युक्त दलों की रिपोर्ट के आधार पर कार्रवाई प्रारंभ कर दी गई है; यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है?

शहरी कार्य और रोजगार मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री(श्री बंडारू दत्तात्रेय): (क) जी, हां।

(ख) और (ग) जी, नहीं। केवल नगर नियोजन के तकनीकी दल ने अपनी अंतिम रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत की है।